

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/034,950	SHENOY ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEXANDER D. KIM	1656	

All Participants:

(1) ALEXANDER D. KIM

(2) Natalie A. Lissy

Date of Interview: 13 August 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

136-138

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed canceling Claims 136-138 in order to proceed to a patentability conference; however, the applicants representative declined the proposed claim amendment on 8/13/2009 after consulting with applicants.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)